

PROPERTIES OF KOSZUL HOMOLOGY MODULES

UWE NAGEL* AND TONY J. PUTHENPURAKAL†

ABSTRACT. We investigate various module-theoretic properties of Koszul homology under mild conditions. These include their depth, S_2 -property and their Bass numbers

1. INTRODUCTION

This note is an attempt to study module theoretic properties of Koszul homology modules. Let R be a commutative Noetherian ring and let M be a finitely generated R -module. Let I an R -ideal in R and let $\mathbf{y} = \{y_1, \dots, y_l\}$ be any set of generators of I and assume that $M \neq IM$. Denote by $\mathbb{K}_\bullet = \mathbb{K}_\bullet(\mathbf{y}, M)$ the Koszul complex associated to $\mathbf{y}$ with coefficients in M . Set $H_i(\mathbf{y}, M)$ the i^{th} Koszul homology module of M with respect to $\mathbf{y}$. It is well-known that the Koszul complex is grade sensitive, that is, if $\text{grade}(I, M) = g$ then $H_{l-g}(\mathbf{y}, M) \neq 0$ and $H_i(\mathbf{y}, M) = 0$ whenever $i > l - g$. Furthermore, if $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ is a local Cohen-Macaulay ring and I is an ideal, then all non-zero $H_i(\mathbf{y}, R)$ have the same dimension as $A = R/I$ (see, e.g., [8, 4.2.2]). However, apart from special cases (see, e.g., [5]) not much is known about Koszul homology modules. Indeed, W. Vasconcelos writes in his book “Integral closures” [9, page 280]:

”While the vanishing of the homology of a Koszul complex $K(\mathbf{x}, M)$ is easy to track, the module theoretic properties of its homology, with the exception of the ends, is difficult to fathom. For instance, just trying to see whether a prime is associated to some $H_i(\mathbf{x}, M)$ can be very hard.”

The purpose of this note is to enhance our knowledge about Koszul homology by establishing the following five results:

We first give the following general estimate for depth of $H_{l-g-1}(\mathbf{y}, R)$.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a local Cohen-Macaulay ring and let I be an ideal. Set $g = \text{grade}(I)$. Then*

$$\text{depth } H_{l-g-1}(I) \geq \min\{2, \text{depth } H_{l-g}(I) - 2\}.$$

It is well-known that if $g = \text{grade}(I, M)$ then $H_{l-g}(\mathbf{y}, M) \cong \text{Ext}_R^g(R/I, M)$. We show:

Theorem 1.2. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring and let I be a perfect ideal. Let M be a maximal Cohen-Macaulay A -module. Set $g = \text{grade}(I)$. Then*

$$H_{l-g-1}(\mathbf{y}, M) \cong \text{Ext}_R^g(H_1(\mathbf{y}), M).$$

* The work for this paper was done while the first author was sponsored by the National Security Agency under Grant Number H98230-07-1-0065.

† The work for this paper was done while the second author was visiting University of Kentucky by a fellowship from Department of Science and Technology, India .

In particular $H_{l-g-1}(\mathbf{y}, M)$ satisfies S_2 .

If $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ is Cohen-Macaulay and I is a Cohen-Macaulay ideal, Vasconcelos notes that $H_{n-g-1}(\mathbf{y}, R)$ is S_2 ; see [7, 1.3.2]. We prove:

Theorem 1.3. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Gorenstein and I be a Cohen-Macaulay ideal. Then*

$$H_{n-g-1}(\mathbf{y}) \cong \text{Ext}_R^g(H_1(\mathbf{y}), R).$$

Next we consider the projective dimension of Koszul homology modules. Note that if I is perfect then $H_{l-g}(\mathbf{y}, R) \cong \text{Ext}_R^g(R/I, R)$ has finite projective dimension.

Theorem 1.4. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a local Noetherian and let I be a perfect ideal with $\nu(I) = \text{grade}(I) + 2$, where $\nu(I)$ denotes the number of minimal generators of I . Then $\text{projdim}_R H_1(I)$ is finite.*

We conclude with an estimate of certain Bass numbers of $H_1(I)$. Let $\nu(E)$ denote the minimal number of generators of an R -module E and let $\mu_i(\mathfrak{m}, E) = \ell(\text{Ext}_R^i(k, E))$ denote the i -th Bass number of E (with respect to $\mathfrak{m}$). If $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ is regular local of dimension d then one can verify $\mu_d(\mathfrak{m}, R/I) = 1$.

Theorem 1.5. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a regular local ring and let I be an ideal in R . Then*

$$l - \mu_{d-1}(\mathfrak{m}, R/I) \leq \mu_d(\mathfrak{m}, H_1(I)) \leq l - \mu_{d-1}(\mathfrak{m}, R/I) + \mu_{d-2}(\mathfrak{m}, R/I).$$

We now briefly describe the organization of this paper. In Section 2 we introduce some notation. In Section 3 we prove Theorems 1.2, 1.3 and 1.4. In Section 4 we prove Theorem 1.1. We conclude with an estimate of certain Bass numbers in Section 5.

2. NOTATION

In this paper all rings are commutative Noetherian. Let R be a ring, I an ideal in R and let M be an R -module (not-necessarily finitely generated).

2.1. Let $\mathbf{y} = \{y_1, \dots, y_l\}$ be a set of generators of I and let $\mathbb{K}_\bullet(\mathbf{y}, R)$ be the Koszul complex with respect to $\mathbf{y}$. Set

$$\mathbb{K}_\bullet = \mathbb{K}_\bullet(\mathbf{y}, R): \quad 0 \rightarrow K_l \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow K_1 \rightarrow K_0 \rightarrow 0.$$

Let $\mathbb{K}^\bullet = \text{Hom}_R(\mathbb{K}_\bullet, R)$ be the Koszul co-chain complex with respect to $\mathbf{y}$. Let $\mathbb{K}_\bullet(\mathbf{y}, M)$ and $\mathbb{K}^\bullet(\mathbf{y}, M)$ be respectively the Koszul complex and co-chain complex with respect to $\mathbf{y}$ with coefficients in M .

2.2. If $\mathbf{D}_\bullet$ is a chain complex of R -modules then we set $H_i(\mathbf{D}_\bullet)$ to denote its i^{th} homology module. Likewise if $\mathbf{D}^\bullet$ is a co-chain complex of R -modules then we set $H^i(\mathbf{D}^\bullet)$ to denote its i^{th} cohomology module. Let $H_i(\mathbf{y}, M)$ and $H^i(\mathbf{y}, M)$ denote respectively the i^{th} Koszul homology and cohomology module with respect to $\mathbf{y}$ with coefficients in M .

3. PROOF OF THEOREMS 1.2, 1.3 AND 1.4

In this section we prove Theorems 1.2, 1.3 and 1.4

3.1. Let $\mathbf{I}^\bullet$ be a "deleted" injective resolution of M ;

$$\mathbf{I}^\bullet: \quad 0 \rightarrow I^0 \rightarrow I^1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow I^n \rightarrow I^{n+1} \rightarrow \dots$$

We consider the Hom co-chain complex $\mathbf{C} = \text{Hom}(\mathbb{K}_\bullet, \mathbf{I}^\bullet)$; see [10, 2.7.4]. Set

$$\mathbf{C} = \{C^{pq}\}_{p,q \geq 0} \quad \text{where} \quad C^{pq} = \text{Hom}(K_p, I^q).$$

Set $\mathbf{T}^\bullet = \text{Tot}^\oplus(\mathbf{C})$ where

$$T^n = \bigoplus_{p+q=n} C^{pq}.$$

Proposition 3.2. *[with hypothesis as in 3.1] The spectral sequence $\{^I E_r^{pq}\}$ collapses; hence, for each $i \geq 0$, we have $H^i(\mathbf{T}^\bullet) = H^i(\mathbf{y}, M)$.*

Proof. Notice

$$\begin{aligned} {}^I E_1^{pq} &= H^q(\text{Hom}_R(K_p, \mathbf{I}^\bullet)) \\ &= \text{Ext}_R^q(K_p, M) \\ &= \begin{cases} \text{Hom}_R(K_p, M), & \text{for } q = 0; \\ 0 & \text{for } q > 0. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

The last equality is true since K_p is a free R -module. It follows that

$${}^I E_2^{pq} = \begin{cases} H^p(\mathbf{y}, M), & \text{for } q = 0; \\ 0 & \text{for } q > 0. \end{cases}$$

Hence the spectral sequence collapses at E_2 and the claim follows. $\square$

Proposition 3.3. *[with hypothesis as in 3.1]*

$${}^{II} E_2^{pq} = \text{Ext}_R^p(H_q(\mathbf{y}), M).$$

Proof. Notice

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{II} E_1^{pq} &= H^q(\text{Hom}_R(\mathbb{K}_\bullet, I^p)) \\ &= \text{Hom}_R(H_q(\mathbf{y}), I^p). \end{aligned}$$

The last equality is true since I^p is an injective R -module. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{II} E_2^{pq} &= H^p(\text{Hom}_R(H_q(\mathbf{y}), \mathbf{I}^\bullet)) \\ &= \text{Ext}_R^p(H_q(\mathbf{y}), M); \end{aligned}$$

as claimed. $\square$

3.4. ${}^{II} E_2$ -page in three Special Cases: We will consider the following three special cases

(i) $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ is a d -dimensional Gorenstein local ring, $A = R/I$ is CM and $M = R$.

(a) By local duality we get $\text{Ext}_R^p(H_0(I), M) = 0$ for $p > g$. So

$${}^{II} E_2^{p,0} = 0 \quad \text{for } p > g.$$

(b) Since $\text{grade } H_q(\mathbf{y}) = g$ for all q and $M = R$ is maximal Cohen-Macaulay. So

$${}^{II} E_2^{pq} = 0 \quad \text{for } p < g.$$

(ii) $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ is a d -dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring with a canonical module, the ideal I is perfect (in particular $A = R/I$ is CM) and M is a Maximal Cohen-Macaulay R -module.

(a) Since $H_0(\mathbf{y}) = R/I$ has projective dimension g we get that

$$\text{Ext}_R^p(H_0(I), M) = 0 \quad \text{for } p > g. \text{ So}$$

$${}^{II} E_2^{p,0} = 0 \quad \text{for } p > g.$$

- (b) Since $\text{grade } H_q(\mathbf{y}) = g$ for all q and M is maximal Cohen-Macaulay we get

$${}^{II}E_2^{pq} = 0 \quad \text{for } p < g.$$

- (iii) $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ is Noetherian local of dimension d and M is a finitely generated R -module. The ideal I is perfect and has $l = \mu(I) = \text{grade}(I) + 2$. Finally, $\mathbf{y}$ is a minimal set of generators of I .

- (a) We have that $H_2(I) \cong \text{Ext}_R^g(R/I, R)$ has projective dimension g . So $\text{Ext}_R^p(H_2(I), M) = 0$ for $p > g$.

$${}^{II}E_2^{p, l-g} = 0 \quad \text{for } p > g.$$

- (b) Similarly as R/I has projective dimension g we get $\text{Ext}_R^p(H_0(I), M) = 0$ for $p > g$. So

$${}^{II}E_2^{pq} = 0 \quad \text{for } p < g.$$

We now establish Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Assume R, I, A, M are as in 3.4(ii). Recall ${}^{II}E_r$ has differential of degree $(r, -r+1)$. Using the vanishing results in 3.4(ii) we get that

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{II}E_\infty^{g,1} &= {}^{II}E_2^{g,1} = \text{Ext}_R^g(H_1(\mathbf{y}), M) \quad \text{and} \\ {}^{II}E_\infty^{g+1,0} &= {}^{II}E_2^{g+1,0} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The only non-zero term in ${}^{II}E_\infty$ with total degree $g+1$ is ${}^{II}E_\infty^{g,1}$. It follows that

$$H^{g+1}(\mathbf{T}^\bullet) \cong {}^{II}E_\infty^{g,1} = \text{Ext}_R^g(H_1(\mathbf{y}), M).$$

Proposition 3.2 provides our claim. In particular $H_{l-g-1}(\mathbf{y}, M)$ is S_2 . $\square$

We now prove our second main result.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Assume R, I, A are as in 3.4(i). We use the spectral sequence with $M = R$. Recall ${}^{II}E_r$ has differential of degree $(r, -r+1)$. Using the vanishing results in 3.4(i) we get that

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{II}E_\infty^{g,1} &= {}^{II}E_2^{g,1} = \text{Ext}_R^g(H_1(\mathbf{y}), R) \quad \text{and} \\ {}^{II}E_\infty^{g+1,0} &= {}^{II}E_2^{g+1,0} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The only non-zero term in ${}^{II}E_\infty$ with total degree $g+1$ is ${}^{II}E_\infty^{g,1}$. It follows that

$$H^{g+1}(\mathbf{T}^\bullet) \cong {}^{II}E_\infty^{g,1} = \text{Ext}_R^g(H_1(\mathbf{y}), R).$$

Proposition 3.2 provides our claim. $\square$

We now establish Theorem 1.4

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Recall ${}^{II}E_r$ has differential of degree $(r, -r+1)$. Also recall that $g \leq d$.

Using the vanishing results in 3.4iii we get

$${}^{II}E_\infty^{d+3,1} = {}^{II}E_2^{d+3,1} = \text{Ext}_R^{d+3}(H_1(\mathbf{y}), M).$$

By Proposition 3.2; $H^{d+4}(\mathbf{T}^\bullet) = 0$. It follows that

$$\text{Ext}_R^{d+3}(H_1(\mathbf{y}), M) = 0,$$

where the module M is an arbitrary R -module. So we get $\text{projdim}_R H_1(I) < \infty$. $\square$

An easy consequence to 1.4 is the following result.

Corollary 3.5. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Gorenstein local ring and let I be a perfect ideal in R with $\nu(I) = \text{grade}(I) + 2$. Set $A = R/I$. Then $H_1(I)$ is a perfect R -module and a self-dual A -module*

Proof. By a result due to Avramov and Herzog [1, Supplement], the ideal I is strongly CM. Using 1.4 we get that $H_1(I)$ is a perfect R -module.

Let ω be the canonical module of A . By 1.3 we have $H_1(I) \cong \text{Ext}_R^g(H_1(I), R)$. Notice

$$\text{Ext}_R^g(H_1(I), R) \cong \text{Hom}_A(H_1(I), \omega).$$

Thus $H_1(I)$ is a self-dual A -module. $\square$

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

Throughout this section $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ is Cohen-Macaulay local ring of dimension d and I is an ideal in R . Let $\mathbf{u} = u_1, \dots, u_l$ be a system of minimal generators for I . Let $\mathbb{K}_\bullet = \mathbb{K}_\bullet(\mathbf{u}, R)$ be the Koszul complex with respect to $\mathbf{u}$. Let $g = \text{grade}(I)$ and let $\mathbf{x} = x_1, \dots, x_{d-g} \in \mathfrak{m}$ be such that

- (1) $\mathbf{x}$ is a R -regular sequence.
- (2) $\mathbf{x}$ is a system of parameters for R/I .

Let $\mathbf{C}^\bullet$ be the Čech complex on $\mathbf{x}$. We write $\mathbf{C}^\bullet[-(d-g)]$ homologically and call it $\mathbf{D}_\bullet$. So

$$\mathbf{D}_\bullet: 0 \rightarrow D_{d-g} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow D_1 \rightarrow D_0 \rightarrow 0$$

and $H_i(D \otimes M) = H_{\mathbf{x}}^{d-g-i}(M)$ for a R -module M .

Consider the double complex $\mathbf{X} = \mathbb{K}_\bullet \otimes \mathbf{D}_\bullet$ and set $\mathbf{W}_\bullet = \text{Tot}(\mathbf{X})$. We look at the two standard spectral sequences associated to $\mathbf{X}$.

Proposition 4.1. *The spectral sequence $\{^I E_{pq}^r\}$ collapses; hence, for each $i \geq 0$, we have $H_i(\mathbf{W}_\bullet) = H_i(\mathbf{u}, H_{\mathbf{x}}^{d-g}(R))$.*

Proof. $^I E_{pq}^0 = K_p \otimes D_q$. So

$$\begin{aligned} ^I E_{pq}^1 &= H_q(K_p \otimes \mathbf{D}_\bullet) \\ &= H_{\mathbf{x}}^{d-g-q}(K_p) \\ &= H_{\mathbf{x}}^{d-g-q}(R) \otimes K_p \\ &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } q > 0; \\ H_{\mathbf{x}}^{d-g}(R) \otimes K_p & \text{for } q = 0. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$^I E_{pq}^2 = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } q > 0; \\ H_p(\mathbf{u}, H_{\mathbf{x}}^{d-g}(R)) & \text{for } q = 0. \end{cases}$$

The result follows. $\square$

Proposition 4.2.

$$^{II} E_{pq}^2 = H_{\mathfrak{m}}^{d-g-p}(H_q(I)).$$

Proof. ${}^{II}E_{pq}^0 = K_q \otimes D_p$. So

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{II}E_{pq}^1 &= H_q(\mathbb{K}_\bullet \otimes D_p) \\ &= H_q(\mathbb{K}_\bullet) \otimes D_p; \quad \text{since } D_p \text{ is a flat } R\text{-module} \\ &= H_q(I) \otimes D_p \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{II}E_{pq}^2 &= H_p(H_q(I) \otimes \mathbf{D}_\bullet) \\ &= H_{\mathbf{x}}^{d-g-p}(H_q(I)) \\ &= H_{\mathbf{m}}^{d-g-p}(H_q(I)). \end{aligned}$$

□

Surprisingly we have the following vanishing result.

Proposition 4.3. *Adopt the above assumptions. Then $H_i(I, H_{\mathbf{x}}^{d-g}(R)) = 0$ for $i > l - g$.*

To prove this result the following Lemma is needed.

Lemma 4.4. *Let $(R, \mathbf{m})$ be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring. Let $x_1, \dots, x_r, y_1, \dots, y_s$ be an R -regular sequence. Then*

- (1) $\mathbf{y} = y_1, \dots, y_s$ is a weak $H_{\mathbf{x}}^r(R)$ -regular sequence.
- (2) $H_{\mathbf{x}}^r(R)/\mathbf{y}H_{\mathbf{x}}^r(R) = H_{\mathbf{x}}^r(R/\mathbf{y}R)$

Proof. It is sufficient to prove it for $s = 1$. Set $y = y_1$ and $\overline{R} = R/yR$. Consider the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow R \xrightarrow{y} R \longrightarrow \overline{R} \longrightarrow 0$$

Notice $\mathbf{x}$ is a $R \oplus \overline{R}$ -regular sequence. Therefore taking local cohomology with respect to $\mathbf{x}$ we obtain

$$0 \longrightarrow H_{\mathbf{x}}^r(R) \xrightarrow{y} H_{\mathbf{x}}^r(R) \longrightarrow H_{\mathbf{x}}^r(\overline{R}) \longrightarrow 0.$$

So y is $H_{\mathbf{x}}^r(R)$ -regular and $H_{\mathbf{x}}^r(R)/yH_{\mathbf{x}}^r(R) = H_{\mathbf{x}}^r(\overline{R})$. □

Proof of Proposition 4.3. Choose $\mathbf{y} = y_1, \dots, y_g$ in I such that $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}$ is a system of parameters for R and hence a R -regular sequence. The result follows from Lemma 4.4 and [2, 1.6.16]. □

The following example shows that the result in Theorem 1.1 cannot be improved in general.

Example 4.5. Let $R = \mathbb{Q}[x, y, z, w, a, b, c, d]$ and let I be the ideal generated by the maximal minors of ψ where

$$\psi = \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c & d \\ x & y & z & w \end{pmatrix}.$$

By [3], we get that $\text{height } I = 3$, so $\dim A = 5$. Let $\mathbf{y}$ be the set of minimal generators of I . So $l = 6, g = 3$. Using MACAULAY [4], one verifies that $\text{depth } H_2(\mathbf{y}) = 2$. Here $\text{depth } H_3(\mathbf{y}) = 5$.

We now give the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Proof. We have to show the following

- (1) If $\text{depth } H_{l-g}(I) \geq 3$ then $\text{depth } H_{l-g-1}(I) \geq 1$.
 (2) If $\dim H_{l-g}(I) \geq 4$ then $\text{depth } H_{l-g-1}(I) \geq 2$.

We use the two standard spectral sequences induced on the above double complex $\mathbf{X} = \mathbb{K}_\bullet \otimes \mathbf{D}_\bullet$. Recall ${}^{II}E^r$ has differential of degree $(-r, r-1)$. We also notice that

$${}^{II}E_{pq}^2 = H_{\mathfrak{m}}^{d-g-p}(H_q(I)) = 0 \quad \text{for } p > d-g.$$

If $\text{depth } H_{l-g}(I) \geq i+1$ then

$${}^{II}E_{d-g-j, l-g}^2 = 0 \quad \text{for } j = 0, \dots, i.$$

- (1) If $\text{depth } H_{l-g}(I) \geq 3$ then using the above vanishing results we get that

$${}^{II}E_{d-g, l-g-1}^\infty = {}^{II}E_{d-g, l-g-1}^2 = H_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(H_{l-g-1}(I))$$

Since $\dim H_{l-g}(I) = d-g \geq \text{depth } H_{l-g}(I) = 3$, the total degree of ${}^{II}E_{d-g, l-g-1}^\infty$ is $r = d-g+l-g-1 \geq l-g+2$. As ${}^{II}E_{d-g, l-g-1}^\infty$ is a subquotient of $H_r(\mathbf{W}_\bullet) = 0$ (by Proposition 4.3), we get that ${}^{II}E_{d-g, l-g-1}^\infty = 0$. Thus $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(H_{l-g-1}(I)) = 0$. Therefore $\text{depth } H_{l-g-1}(I) \geq 1$.

- (2) If $\text{depth } H_{l-g}(I) \geq 4$ then similarly as above we get

$${}^{II}E_{d-g-1, l-g-1}^\infty = {}^{II}E_{d-g-1, l-g-1}^2 = H_{\mathfrak{m}}^1(H_{l-g-1}(I))$$

The total degree of ${}^{II}E_{d-g-1, l-g-1}^\infty$ is $r = d-g-1+l-g-1 \geq l-g+2$. By an argument similar to (1) it follows that $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^1(H_{l-g-1}(I)) = 0$. By (1) we also have that $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(H_{l-g-1}(I)) = 0$. Therefore $\text{depth } H_{l-g-1}(I) \geq 2$. $\square$

5. BASS NUMBERS

In this section $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ is a Gorenstein local ring. Let $\nu(E)$ denote the minimal number of generators of an R -module E and let $\mu_i(\mathfrak{m}, E) = \ell(\text{Ext}_R^i(k, E))$ denote the i -th Bass number of E (with respect to $\mathfrak{m}$).

Theorem 5.1. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Gorenstein local ring of dimension d . Set $l = \nu(I)$, $g = \text{grade}(I)$ and assume that $l \geq g+2$. We have the following*

- (I) *Assume I be a strongly Cohen-Macaulay ideal in R . Set $c = d-g$. Then*

$$l - \mu_{c+1}(\mathfrak{m}, H^g(I)) \leq \mu_c(\mathfrak{m}, H^{g+1}(I)) \leq l - \mu_{c+1}(\mathfrak{m}, H^g(I)) + \mu_{c+2}(\mathfrak{m}, H^g(I)).$$

 (II) *Assume $\text{projdim}_R H_i(I)$ is finite for all i . (Notice I need not be strongly Cohen-Macaulay). Then*
 (a) $\mu_d(\mathfrak{m}, R/I) = 1$.
 (b) $l - \mu_{d-1}(\mathfrak{m}, R/I) \leq \mu_d(\mathfrak{m}, H_1(I)) \leq l - \mu_{d-1}(\mathfrak{m}, R/I) + \mu_{d-2}(\mathfrak{m}, R/I).$

Proof. Let $\mathbf{F}_\bullet$ be a "deleted" minimal free resolution of $k = R/\mathfrak{m}$, let $\mathbf{I}^\bullet$ be a "deleted" minimal injective resolution of R and let $\mathbb{K}_\bullet$ be the Koszul complex on a set of minimal generators of I .

Consider the double co-chain complexes

$$\mathbf{X} = \text{Hom}_R(\text{Tot}(\mathbf{F}_\bullet \otimes_R \mathbb{K}_\bullet), \mathbf{I}^\bullet) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{Y} = \text{Hom}_R(\mathbf{F}_\bullet, \text{Tot}(\text{Hom}_R(\mathbb{K}_\bullet, \mathbf{I}^\bullet))).$$

Since all complexes involved are first quadrant complexes we have $\mathbf{X} \cong \mathbf{Y}$; cf. [10, 2.7.3]. Both the cases considered involve computing the cohomology of $\mathbf{Z}^\bullet = \text{Tot}(\mathbf{X})$. We use the second standard spectral sequence associated to $\mathbf{X}$ to compute cohomology of $\mathbf{Z}^\bullet$. Notice $\text{Tot}(\mathbf{X}) \cong \text{Tot}(\mathbf{Y})$. We use the first standard spectral spectral sequence on $\mathbf{Y}$ to derive our results.

Set $\mathbf{D}_\bullet = \text{Tot}(\mathbf{F}_\bullet \otimes_R \mathbb{K}_\bullet)$ and $\mathbf{T}^\bullet = \text{Tot}(\text{Hom}_R(\mathbb{K}_\bullet, \mathbf{I}^\bullet))$. By Proposition 3.2 we have that $H^i(\mathbf{T}^\bullet) = H^i(I)$; the i -th Koszul cohomology of I .

Now we compute the homology of $\mathbf{D}_\bullet$. We use the second standard spectral sequence on $\mathbf{F}_\bullet \otimes_R \mathbb{K}_\bullet$. So $E_{pq}^0 = F_q \otimes K_p$. So we get $E_{pq}^1 = H_q(\mathbf{F}_\bullet \otimes K_p) = \text{Tor}_q^R(k, K_p)$. Since K_p is free we have

$$E_{pq}^1 = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } q \neq 0 \\ k \otimes K_p & \text{for } q = 0 \end{cases}$$

It follows that

$$E_{pq}^2 = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } q \neq 0 \\ k \binom{l}{p} & \text{for } q = 0 \end{cases}$$

Now we compute the cohomology of $\mathbf{Z}^\bullet = \text{Tot}(\mathbf{X})$,

$$\mathbf{X} = \text{Hom}_R(\text{Tot}(\mathbf{F}_\bullet \otimes_R \mathbb{K}_\bullet), \mathbf{I}^\bullet)$$

and using the second standard spectral sequence for $\mathbf{X}$. So $E_0^{pq} = \text{Hom}_R(D_q, I^p)$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} E_1^{pq} &= H^q(\text{Hom}(\mathbf{D}_\bullet, I^p)) \\ &= \text{Hom}_R(H_q(\mathbf{D}_\bullet), I^p); \quad \text{since } I^p \text{ is injective,} \\ &= \text{Hom}_R\left(k \binom{l}{q}, I^p\right) \\ &= \text{Hom}_R(k, I^p) \binom{l}{q} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} E_2^{pq} &= H^p(\text{Hom}(k, \mathbf{I}^\bullet) \binom{l}{q}) \\ &= \text{Ext}_R^p(k, R) \binom{l}{q} \\ &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } p \neq d \\ k \binom{l}{q} & \text{for } p = d \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Thus this spectral sequence collapses. It follows that

$$(5.1.1) \quad H^i(\mathbf{Z}^\bullet) = \begin{cases} k \binom{l}{d-i} & \text{for } d \leq i \leq d+l \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

We now use the fact that $\mathbf{X} \cong \mathbf{Y}$. So $\mathbf{Z}^\bullet \cong \text{Tot}(\mathbf{Y})$. We compute the cohomology of $\mathbf{Z}^\bullet$ by using the first standard spectral sequence on $\mathbf{Y}$. So $E_0^{pq} = \text{Hom}_R(F_p, T^q)$. Therefore we get

$$\begin{aligned} E_1^{pq} &= H^q(\text{Hom}_R(F_p, \mathbf{T}^\bullet)) \\ &= \text{Hom}_R(F_p, H^q(\mathbf{T}^\bullet)); \quad \text{since } F_p \text{ is free} \\ &= \text{Hom}_R(F_p, H^q(I)) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} E_2^{pq} &= H^p(\text{Hom}_R(\mathbf{F}_\bullet, H^q(I))) \\ &= \text{Ext}_R^p(k, H^q(I)) \end{aligned}$$

Now we distinguish the two cases considered in the statement of the theorem

Case (I): Assume the ideal I is strongly Cohen-Macaulay.

Since $H^q(I) = 0$ for $q < g$ we have $E_2^{pq} = 0$ for $q < g$. Also since if $H_q(I) \neq 0$ it is a Cohen-Macaulay R -module of dimension $d - g$ we get $E_2^{pq} = 0$ for $p < d - g$.

We look at elements of total degree $d + 1$. There are only two terms of total degree $d + 1$. They will make up the filtration for $H^{d+1}(\mathbf{Z}^\bullet) = k^l$. So

$$(5.1.2) \quad l = \ell(E_\infty^{d-g+1,g}) + \ell(E_\infty^{d-g,g+1}).$$

Notice

- (i) $E_\infty^{d-g+1,g} = E_2^{d-g+1,g} = \text{Ext}_R^{d-g+1}(k, H^g(I))$.
- (ii) We look at E_3^{pq} when $p = d - g$ and $q = g + 1$. Notice we have an exact sequence

$$(5.1.3) \quad 0 \longrightarrow E_3^{d-g,g+1} \longrightarrow E_2^{d-g,g+1} \longrightarrow E_2^{d-g+2,g}$$

Recall that E_r has differential of degree $(r, -r + 1)$. It follows that

$$E_3^{d-g,g+1} = E_\infty^{d-g,g+1}$$

The result follows.

Case (II): Assume $\text{projdim}_R H_i(I)$ is finite for all i .

Since R is Gorenstein we have $\text{injdim}_R H_i(I) = d$ for all i . It follows that

$$E_2^{pq} = \text{Ext}_R^p(k, H^q(I)) = 0 \quad \text{for all } p > d.$$

- (a.) The only term with total degree $d + l$ is $E_\infty^{d,l}$. Notice

$$E_\infty^{d,l} = E_2^{d,l} = \text{Ext}_R^d(k, H^l(I))$$

Now the result follows from 5.1.1.

(b) We look at the two terms of total degree $d + l - 1$. The proof is almost similar to that of Case (I). Except that here we have an exact sequence

$$\text{Ext}_R^{d-2}(k, H^l(I)) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_R^d(k, H^{l-1}(I)) \longrightarrow E_\infty^{d,l-1} \longrightarrow 0.$$

□

Remark 5.2. We wonder if it is possible to relax the assumption in (II) and still have the conclusion that $\mu_d(\mathfrak{m}, R/I) = 1$.

We now give a proof of Theorem 1.5.

Proof of Theorem 1.5 . Since R is regular local we have $\text{projdim}_R H_i(I)$ is finite for all i . The result follows from Theorem 5.1 □

REFERENCES

- [1] L. L. Avramov and J. Herzog, *The Koszul algebra of a codimension 2 embedding*, Math. Z. **175**, no. 3 (1980), 249–260. MR MR602637 (82g:13011)
- [2] Winfried Bruns and Jürgen Herzog, *Cohen-Macaulay rings*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 39, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993. MR MR1251956 (95h:13020)
- [3] J. A. Eagon and D. G. Northcott, *Ideals defined by matrices and a certain complex associated with them*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Ser. A **269** (1962), 188–204. MR MR0142592 (26 #161)
- [4] Daniel R. Grayson and Michael E. Stillman, *Macaulay 2, a software system for research in algebraic geometry*, Available at <http://www.math.uiuc.edu/Macaulay2/>.
- [5] M. Green, *Koszul homology and the geometry of projective varieties*, J. Diff. Geom. **49** (1984), 125–171.

- [6] Peter Schenzel, *On the use of local cohomology in algebra and geometry*, Six lectures on commutative algebra (Bellaterra, 1996), Progr. Math., vol. 166, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1998, pp. 241–292. MR MR1648667 (99k:13025)
- [7] Wolmer Vasconcelos, *Koszul homology and the structure of low codimension Cohen-Macaulay ideals*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **301**, no. 2 (1987), 591–613. MR MR882705 (88i:13031)
- [8] Wolmer Vasconcelos, *Arithmetic of blowup algebras*, London Mathematical Society Lecture note series, vol. 195, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994. MR MR1275840 (95g:13005)
- [9] Wolmer Vasconcelos, *Integral closure*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2005, Rees algebras, multiplicities, algorithms. MR MR2153889 (2006m:13007)
- [10] Charles A. Weibel, *An introduction to homological algebra*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 38, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994. MR MR1269324 (95f:18001)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY, 715 PATTERSON OFFICE TOWER,
LEXINGTON, KY 40506-0027, USA

E-mail address: `uwenagel@ms.uky.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY BOMBAY, POWAI, MUMBAI
400 076, INDIA

E-mail address: `tputhen@math.iitb.ac.in`